Profit Shifting, Employee Pay, and Inequalities: Evidence from US-Listed Companies

Baptiste Souillard ECARES, SBS-EM, Université Libre de Bruxelles

4th World Bank Tax Conference – Global Tax Equity
September 22, 2022







MOTIVATION

- Corporate tax avoidance is under the glare of public spotlight.
- Context: repeated data leaks and tax scandals, persistent budget deficits in high-income countries, rising income inequalities, globalization backlash, COVID-19 pandemic, etc.
- Multinational enterprises (MNEs) are accused of large-scale tax avoidance and profit shifting (PS), i.e., of artificially booking their profits in low-tax jurisdictions and tax havens.
- The techniques used to this end are well documented in the literature, but the **consequences** of PS (beyond tax revenues) have received limited attention.
- Little is known on its **distributional impact**. It is often argued that PS aggravates income inequalities, but systematic evidence along these lines remains scarce.

K

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

The effect of PS on employee pay can be examined through the lens of rent sharing models.

- Plain-vanilla model of collective bargaining: $w = \bar{w} + kS \rightarrow PS$ triggers 2 opposite forces.
 - Ut reduces taxes and thereby increases the surplus (S) to be shared between the MNE and its workers.
 - It creates an asymmetric information about profitability that weakens workers' bargaining power (k).
- According to the (theoretical) literature, the adverse effect should dominate.
- However, for executives:
 - o is more likely to kick in (especially for those paid on an after-tax basis).
 - should be negligible (they oversee economic and financial operations).

Testable prediction

We expect PS to have a positive effect on the compensations of executives (notably for those receiving after-tax incentives) and a negative effect on the wages of non-executive employees.

WHAT I DO IN THIS PAPER

I confront theory and data and **empirically** study the impact of PS on employee pay and inequalities.


- 1. I construct a **rich database** containing information on the
 - financial statements,
 - foreign subsidiaries,
 - and executives

of firms listed on the Standard & Poor's (S&P) 1500 index between 1993 and 2013.

- 2. With an **event study**, I analyze how firm entry into tax havens affects
 - the compensation of executives
 - and overall payments to non-executive employees.

MAIN RESULTS

The effect of firm entry into tax havens on employee pay (average effect)




Notes: Point estimates with 95 percent confidence intervals. Standard errors are clustered at the firm level.

- The increase in compensations mostly takes the form of non-equity incentive plans.
- The decrease in payments to non-executive employees is sometimes insignificant, depending on the model's specification (\rightarrow if anything, the impact is negative).

*

THE ROLE OF INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The effect of firm entry into tax havens on employee pay (according to firm intensity in intangible assets)



Notes: Point estimates with 95 percent confidence intervals. Standard errors are clustered at the firm level.

- Compelling evidence shows that **intangible assets** fuel and facilitate profit shifting.
- Is the inequality-deepening effect **most striking in intangible-intensive firms**? It seems so!

SUMMARY

- The analysis confirms that firm entry into tax havens worsens within-firm pay inequalities.
 - Compensations of CEOs and CFOs remunerated on an after-tax basis grow by 8 percent.
 - Total payments to non-executive employees, if anything, decline by 3 percent.
- The inequality-deepening effect is more pronounced in intangible-intensive firms.
- These findings are robust and have **policy implications**.
 - 1. They shed light on the distributional impact of profit shifting.
 - 2. They help explain recent trends in income inequalities and uncover a new mechanism whereby globalization widens income inequalities.
 - 3. They further support the implementation of anti profit shifting measures.

Thank you for your attention!

Comments, questions, and suggestions are welcome: baptiste.souillard@ulb.be

Full paper: www.baptistesouillard.com